

# SPECIMEN F

### GENERAL CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY EDUCATION

# TWENTY FIRST CENTURY SCIENCE

# **PHYSICS A**

Unit A181: Modules P1, P2, P3 (Foundation Tier)

MARK SCHEME

Duration: 1 hour

A181/01

MAXIMUM MARK 60

This document consists of 16 pages

OCR is an exempt Charity

#### Guidance for Examiners

Additional guidance within any mark scheme takes precedence over the following guidance.

- 1. Mark strictly to the mark scheme.
- 2. Make no deductions for wrong work after an acceptable answer unless the mark scheme says otherwise.
- 3. Accept any clear, unambiguous response which is correct, eg mis-spellings if phonetically correct (but check additional guidance).
- 4. Abbreviations, annotations and conventions used in the detailed mark scheme:

/	=	alternative and acceptable answers for the same marking point
(1)	=	separates marking points
not/reject	=	answers which are not worthy of credit
ignore	=	statements which are irrelevant - applies to neutral answers
allow/accept	=	answers that can be accepted
(words)	=	words which are not essential to gain credit
words	=	underlined words must be present in answer to score a mark
ecf	=	error carried forward
AW/owtte	=	alternative wording
ORA	=	or reverse argument

Eg mark scheme shows 'work done in lifting / (change in) gravitational potential energy' (1) work done = 0 marks work done lifting = 1 mark change in potential energy = 0 marks gravitational potential energy = 1 mark

5. Annotations:

The following annotations are available on SCORIS.

- ✓ = correct response
- × = incorrect response
- bod = benefit of the doubt
- nbod = benefit of the doubt <u>not</u> given
- ECF = error carried forward
- ^ = information omitted
- I = ignore
- R = reject
- 6. If a candidate alters his/her response, examiners should accept the alteration.

7. Crossed out answers should be considered only if no other response has been made. When marking crossed out responses, accept correct answers which are clear and unambiguous.

Eg

For a one mark question, where ticks in boxes 3 and 4 are required for the mark:



8. The list principle:

If a list of responses greater than the number requested is given, work through the list from the beginning. Award one mark for each correct response, ignore any neutral response, and deduct one mark for any incorrect response, eg one which has an error of science. If the number of incorrect responses is equal to or greater than the number of correct responses, no marks are awarded. A neutral response is correct but irrelevant to the question.

9. Marking method for tick boxes:

Always check the additional guidance.

If there is a set of boxes, some of which should be ticked and others left empty, then judge the entire set of boxes.

If there is at least one tick, ignore crosses. If there are no ticks, accept clear, unambiguous indications, eg shading or crosses.

Credit should be given for each box correctly ticked. If more boxes are ticked than there are correct answers, then deduct one mark for each additional tick. Candidates cannot score less than zero marks.

Eg If a question requires candidates to identify a city in England, then in the boxes

Edinburgh	
Manchester	
Paris	
Southampton	

the second and fourth boxes should have ticks (or other clear indication of choice) and the first and third should be blank (or have indication of choice crossed out).

Edinburgh			$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Manchester	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓				✓	
Paris				✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	
Southampton	✓	×		✓		✓	✓		$\checkmark$	
Score:	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	NR

- 10. Three questions in this paper are marked using a Level of Response (LoR) mark scheme with embedded assessment of the Quality of Written Communication (QWC). When marking with a Level of Response mark scheme:
  - Read the question in the question paper, and then the list of relevant points in the 'Additional guidance' column of the mark scheme, to familiarise yourself with the expected science. The relevant points are not to be taken as marking points, but as a summary of the relevant science from the specification.
  - Read the level descriptors in the 'Expected answers' column of the mark scheme, starting with Level 3 and working down, to familiarise yourself with the expected levels of response.
  - For a general correlation between quality of science and QWC: determine the level based upon which level descriptor best describes the answer; you may award either the higher or lower mark within the level depending on the quality of the science and/or the QWC.
  - For high-level science but very poor QWC: the candidate will be limited to Level 2 by the bad QWC no matter how good the science is; if the QWC is so bad that it prevents communication of the science the candidate cannot score above Level 1.
  - For very poor or totally irrelevant science but perfect QWC: credit cannot be awarded for QWC alone, no matter how perfect it is; if the science is very poor the candidate will be limited to Level 1; if there is insufficient or no relevant science the answer will be Level 0.

A1	81/01	

Qı	lestio	n	Expected answers	Marks	Additional guidance
1			[Level 3] Includes most relevant points in each category in the answer. Explains Wegener's ideas, objections to his theory, and acceptance following further evidence in terms of a causal mechanism. All information in answer is relevant, clear, organised and presented in a structured and coherent format. Specialist terms are used appropriately. Few, if any, errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling. $(5 - 6 \text{ marks})$ [Level 2] Outlines Wegener's ideas with some evidence, and makes reasonable suggestions why his contemporaries did not accept it. The idea of a mechanism for continental drift likely to be absent. For the most part the information is relevant and presented in a structured and coherent format. Specialist terms are used for the most part appropriately. There are occasional errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling. $(3 - 4 \text{ marks})$ [Level 1] Outlines Wegener's ideas with little supporting evidence. Objections by contemporaries likely to be personal rather than scientific. 1960s evidence likely to be missing. Answer may be simplistic. There may be limited use of specialist terms. Errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling prevent communication of the science. (1 - 2  marks) [Level 0] Insufficient or irrelevant science. Answer not worthy of credit. $(0 \text{ marks})$	[6]	relevant points include: Wegener's evidence: • continents 'fit together' • similar rock layers in different continents • similar fossils in different continents His contemporaries' objections: • Wegener was an outsider/not a geologist • no continental movement detectable • existing theories (land bridges) explained fossils • no mechanism proposed for movement For subsequent acceptance: • idea that a plausible mechanism is reasonable grounds for accepting the theory • sea-floor spreading provided a mechanism • movements in mantle as underlying cause <b>accept</b> description of magnetic stripes on seabed as evidence for seafloor spreading <b>ignore</b> references to mountain chains, unless specifically to chains on the West coast of North and South America <b>reject</b> objections to Wegener based on personality
			Total	[6]	

#### Mark Scheme

Q	Question		Expected answers	Marks	Additional guidance
2	(a)		'starshade' will block out light ✓	[2]	2 marks for correct pattern 1 mark for just one mistake 0 marks for more than one mistake (mistake = tick in incorrect box, missing tick or extra tick)
	(b)		Light pollution will not affect	[2]	2 marks for correct pattern 1 mark for just one mistake 0 marks for more than one mistake (mistake = tick in incorrect box, missing tick or extra tick)
			Total	[4]	

SPECIMEN

A1	81	/0	1
----	----	----	---

Q	uestio	n Expected answers	Marks	Additional guidance	
3	(a)	200 m	[1]		
	(b)	speed = 4 Hz × 500 m = 2000 metres/second	[2]	correct answer (if units are clearly shown) with no working gets 2 marks accept 2km/s	
	(c)	S-waves cause more damage (than P-waves) because the graph shows that S-waves are 'larger' / have greater amplitude (than P-waves) therefore they have more energy (than P-waves)	[3]	throughout, <b>credit</b> reverse argument for P-waves	
		Total	[6]		

Question Ex		on	Expected answers	Marks	Additional guidance
4	(a)		A – asteroid B – Earth C – Sun D – Moon	[2]	all correct = 2 marks 2 or 3 correct = 1 mark 1 or 0 correct = 0 marks
	(b)		asteroids vary in size / asteroids overlap in size with other objects / there are other objects in the Solar System in this range of sizes	[1]	
	(c)		crust core mantle	[1]	
			Total	[4]	

8

A181/01	
---------	--

Q	uestio	n Expected answers	Marks	Additional guidance
5	(a)	215	[1]	
	(b)	the mean/average has not changed much and there is no trend of increase or decrease	[2]	
	(c)	360ppm/present concentration is far above the range of the data in the table in addition, the change in concentration in the last 20 000 years is much larger than the changes seen in the previous 20 000-year intervals	[2]	
		Total	[5]	

6		analogue	digital	both		[3]	one mark per correct row
	0s & 1s		$\checkmark$				reject any row with two or three ticks
	em wave			$\checkmark$			
	continuous	$\checkmark$					
	Total						

7		prediction is wrong/not supported because blue beam has less energy than red beam / ora red beam may have more photons (than blue beam) / red beam may have had different area (than blue beam) / detector used to measure red beam may have had different area	[2]	ignore statements attributing data to measurement error
		Total	[2]	

A1	81/01	

Q	Jestic	on	Expected answers	Marks	Additional guidance
8			[Level 3]	[6]	relevant points include:
			Includes most relevant points in the answer. Correctly differentiates between the greenhouse effect and the hole in the ozone layer. All information in answer is relevant, clear, organised and presented in a structured and coherent format. Specialist terms are used appropriately. Few, if any, errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling. $(5 - 6 \text{ marks})$		<ul> <li>Greenhouse effect</li> <li>carbon dioxide is a greenhouse gas</li> <li>carbon dioxide absorbs/reflects radiation emitted from the Earth</li> <li>The greenhouse effect keeps the earth warmer than it would otherwise be / causes global warming</li> </ul>
			<b>[Level 2]</b> Will recognise the two gases and the two distinct effects but may confuse the gases responsible. For the most part the information is relevant and presented in a structured and coherent format. Specialist terms are used for the most part appropriately. There are occasional errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling. (3 - 4  marks)		<ul> <li>accept water and methane as greenhouse gases; reflected radiation is lower frequency/longer wavelength than the radiation (from the Sun) absorbed by the Earth</li> <li><i>Hole in ozone layer</i></li> <li>ozone layer absorbs ultraviolet radiation</li> <li>The lack of ozone/ozone depletion/hole in the ozone layer results in more harmful UV radiation (reaching the earth.</li> </ul>
			<b>[Level 1]</b> Will either know that carbon dioxide is one of the gases, or recognise that ozone is a gas, but not both. May recognize that one blocks infrared or ultraviolet, but not know which. Answer may be simplistic. There may be limited use of specialist terms. Errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling prevent communication of the science. (1 - 2  marks)		<ul> <li>accept ozone is a gas in the atmosphere pollution (e.g. CFCs) results in ozone reacting to form oxygen.</li> <li>ignore CFCs as greenhouse gases effects of global warming or depleted ozone layer</li> </ul>
			[Level 0] Insufficient or irrelevant science. Answer not worthy of credit. (0 marks)		
			Total	[6]	

Question		on	Expected answers		Marks	Additional guidance
9	(a)		Microwaves can be used to heat food by causing particles to vibrate. Microwaves are ionising radiation. The screen on a microwave oven lets light through but blocks microwaves.	✓ ✓	[3]	one mark for each correct tick
			Mobile phones produce microwaves. Microwaves are blocked by the ozone layer. The higher the intensity of microwaves in a microwave oven the less the food is heated.	✓ 		
	(b)		(some/certain) microwaves are strongly absorbed by water molecules but light waves are not		[1]	
			Total		[4]	

10	(a)	selects 3 V 14.4 (W)	[2]	
	(b)	40 x 30 1200 (J)	[2]	
		Total	[4]	

A181/01
---------

Q	uestio	n Expected answers	Marks	Additional guidance
11		<b>[Level 3]</b> Most relevant points are present. A balanced argument is provided recognising risk/benefit analysis. All information in answer is relevant, clear, organised and presented in a structured and coherent format. Specialist terms are used appropriately. Few, if any, errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling. ( $5 - 6$ marks) <b>[Level 2]</b> A balanced discussion is attempted, but significant aspects of the 'pros' or cons' are omitted. May confuse chemical and radioactive poisoning. For the most part the information is relevant and presented in a structured and coherent format. Specialist terms are used for the most part appropriately. There are occasional errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling. ( $3 - 4$ marks) <b>[Level 1]</b> Recognises that waste is hazardous, but does not explain why. Will not accept that circumstances could make nuclear power necessary. Answer may be simplistic. There may be limited use of specialist terms. Errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling prevent communication of the science. ( $1 - 2$ marks) <b>[Level 0]</b> Insufficient or irrelevant science. Answer not worthy of credit. (0 marks)	[6]	<ul> <li>relevant points include:         <ul> <li>uranium/nuclear fuel is a non-renewable energy source</li> <li>waste is radioactive</li> <li>radiation can cause cell damage/cancer</li> <li>little CO<sub>2</sub> produced</li> <li>Government responsible for regulation</li> <li>radiation is 'invisible'</li> </ul> </li> <li>accept hazards of terrorist attack         <ul> <li>waste can contaminate water supplies/soil/etc.</li> <li>must be kept securely for a long time in eg deep secure sites</li> <li>comments on perceived risk versus actual risk</li> </ul> </li> <li>ignore arguments based on safety of power stations (Chernobyl, Japan etc)</li> <li>reject explosion or other confusion with nuclear bomb</li> </ul>
		Total	[6]	

	A181/01	
Г	•	

Q	uestio	n Expected answers	Marks	Additional guidance
12	(a)	32	[1]	
	(b)	35%	[1]	
	(c)	any 3 use waste energy so increases efficiency most energy/45% is lost/wasted as hot water so will have big effect on efficiency no information about how much of the heat is used so difficult to say just what the effect is on efficiency	[3]	
		Total	[5]	

13	(a)	A	heat exchanger boils liquid into vapour	[2]	three links correct = 2 marks one or two links correct = 1 mark
		В	The vapour goes into a turbine		
			A generator is turned to make electricity		
		D			

A181/01	
---------	--

Question	Expected answers	Marks	Additional guidance
Question (b)	Expected answers         coal:         because it is the most efficient         and has one of lowest costs / is cheaper than wind         power         and these benefits outweigh the         disadvantage/environmental cost of producing carbon         dioxide         OR         nuclear:         because it has the lowest cost / is cheaper than coal         and wind power         and this benefit outweighs the         disadvantage/environmental cost of producing         radioactive waste         and outweighs the low efficiency         OR         wind:         because it is more efficient than nuclear	Marks [3]	Additional guidance candidates may choose any type of power station; no marks are awarded for the choice itself, only for the justification of the choice ignore references to any factors not described in the table (eg carbon capture in coal power stations, production of radioactive materials for medical use in nuclear power stations, wind turbines being a 'blot on the landscape', etc.)
	highest costs / expensive to produce but does not significantly harm the environment / is least damaging to the environment and these benefits outweigh the high cost of generation		
	Total	[5]	