



...day June 20XX – Morning/Afternoon

A Level Physics B (Advancing Physics)

H557/03 Practical skills in physics

SAMPLE MARK SCHEME

Duration: 1 hour 30 minutes

MAXIMUM MARK 60

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS**PREPARATION FOR MARKING****SCORIS**

1. Make sure that you have accessed and completed the relevant training packages for on-screen marking: scoris assessor Online Training; OCR Essential Guide to Marking.
2. Make sure that you have read and understood the mark scheme and the question paper for this unit. These are posted on the RM Cambridge Assessment Support Portal <http://www.rm.com/support/ca>
3. Log-in to scoris and mark the **required number** of practice responses (“scripts”) and the **required number** of standardisation responses.

YOU MUST MARK 10 PRACTICE AND 10 STANDARDISATION RESPONSES BEFORE YOU CAN BE APPROVED TO MARK LIVE SCRIPTS.

MARKING

1. Mark strictly to the mark scheme.
2. Marks awarded must relate directly to the marking criteria.
3. The schedule of dates is very important. It is essential that you meet the scoris 50% and 100% (traditional 50% Batch 1 and 100% Batch 2) deadlines. If you experience problems, you must contact your Team Leader (Supervisor) without delay.
4. If you are in any doubt about applying the mark scheme, consult your Team Leader by telephone, email or via the scoris messaging system.

5. Work crossed out:
- where a candidate crosses out an answer and provides an alternative response, the crossed out response is not marked and gains no marks
 - if a candidate crosses out an answer to a whole question and makes no second attempt, and if the inclusion of the answer does not cause a rubric infringement, the assessor should attempt to mark the crossed out answer and award marks appropriately.
6. Always check the pages (and additional objects if present) at the end of the response in case any answers have been continued there. If the candidate has continued an answer there then add a tick to confirm that the work has been seen.
7. There is a NR (No Response) option. Award NR (No Response)
- if there is nothing written at all in the answer space
 - OR if there is a comment which does not in any way relate to the question (e.g. 'can't do', 'don't know')
 - OR if there is a mark (e.g. a dash, a question mark) which isn't an attempt at the question.

Note: Award 0 marks – for an attempt that earns no credit (including copying out the question).

8. The scoris **comments box** is used by your Team Leader to explain the marking of the practice responses. Please refer to these comments when checking your practice responses. **Do not use the comments box for any other reason.**
- If you have any questions or comments for your Team Leader, use the phone, the scoris messaging system, or e-mail.
9. Assistant Examiners will send a brief report on the performance of candidates to their Team Leader (Supervisor) via email by the end of the marking period. The report should contain notes on particular strengths displayed as well as common errors or weaknesses. Constructive criticism of the question paper/mark scheme is also appreciated.

10. For answers marked by levels of response:

- Read through the whole answer from start to finish.
- Decide the level that **best fits** the answer – match the quality of the answer to the closest level descriptor.
- To select a mark within the level, consider the following:

Higher mark: A good match to main point, including communication statement (in italics), award the higher mark in the level

Lower mark: Some aspects of level matches but key omissions in main point or communication statement (in italics), award lower mark in the level.

Level of response questions on this paper are **1(b)(i), 3(a), and 4(a)(iii)**.

11. Annotations

Annotation	Meaning
DO NOT ALLOW	Answers which are not worthy of credit
IGNORE	Statements which are irrelevant
ALLOW	Answers that can be accepted
()	Words which are not essential to gain credit
—	Underlined words must be present in answer to score a mark
ECF	Error carried forward
AW	Alternative wording
ORA	Or reverse argument

12. Subject-specific Marking Instructions

INTRODUCTION

Your first task as an Examiner is to become thoroughly familiar with the material on which the examination depends. This material includes:

- the specification, especially the assessment objectives
- the question paper
- the mark scheme.

You should ensure that you have copies of these materials.

You should ensure also that you are familiar with the administrative procedures related to the marking process. These are set out in the OCR booklet **Instructions for Examiners**. If you are examining for the first time, please read carefully **Appendix 5 Introduction to Script Marking: Notes for New Examiners**.

Please ask for help or guidance whenever you need it. Your first point of contact is your Team Leader.

Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance										
1	(a)	(i)	<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr><td>0.40</td><td>8.0</td></tr> <tr><td>0.40</td><td>8.0</td></tr> <tr><td>0.60</td><td>12.0</td></tr> <tr><td>0.40</td><td>8.0</td></tr> <tr><td>0.20</td><td>4.0</td></tr> </table> <p style="text-align: center;"> ✓ ✓ 3 Δv values correct 5 $\Delta v/\Delta t$ values correct </p>	0.40	8.0	0.40	8.0	0.60	12.0	0.40	8.0	0.20	4.0	2	Correct values entered into table
0.40	8.0														
0.40	8.0														
0.60	12.0														
0.40	8.0														
0.20	4.0														
		(ii)	$g = (\Delta v/\Delta t)_{\text{average}} (\pm \frac{1}{2} \text{ range})$ $= 8.0 \checkmark$ $\pm 4 \text{ (m s}^{-2}\text{)} \checkmark$	2	accept $\pm 2 / 3 / 4 \text{ (m s}^{-2}\text{)}$ on uncertainty estimate										
		(iii)	Δt from strobe is $\pm 10\%$ (and is used twice to find $\Delta v/\Delta t$) \checkmark may contribute up to about $\pm 20\%$ and is largest % uncertainty \checkmark	2	accept improve y measurement because $\pm \%$ on Δy varies between $\pm 5\%$ when y smallest to $\pm 1\%$ when y largest, (but lower y values could be ignored) both required										

Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	(b)	(i)*	<p>Level 3 (5–6 marks) Test carried out with at least 3 evaluations to check constancy. Complete answer with conclusion yes $v_{\text{horizontal}}$ sensibly constant (within limits of position measuring precision from image ,about ± 0.5 cm uncertainty/ 50cm max displacement at best)</p> <p>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.</p> <p>Level 2 (3–4 marks) proposed test: Δx check constant or $x \propto t$ or $x / t = \text{constant}$ (if measuring from left edge of ball). Test carried out with at least 2 evaluations to check constancy</p> <p>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</p> <p>Level 1 (1–2 marks) $v_{\text{horizontal}}$ in range $1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ scores 2 transfers data from graph to table scores 1</p> <p>There is an attempt at a logical structure with a line of reasoning. The information is in the most part relevant.</p> <p>0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.</p>	6	

Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
		(ii)	<p>Laws: identifies 1st law with constant $v_{\text{horizontal}}$ and links constant $v_{\text{horizontal}}$ to lack of $F_{\text{horizontal}}$ ✓</p> <p>identifies 2nd law with constant a_{vertical} and links a_{vertical} to constant F_{vertical} or constant weight ✓</p>	2	
			Total	14	

Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
2	(a)	(i)	5 lines parallel, symmetric and evenly spaced ✓ field direction - ← + ✓ straight equipotential $\frac{1}{4}$ across from earthed plate and perpendicular to E field ✓	3	
		(ii)	1 (E = V/d x $\frac{1}{2}$ for d) doubles E ✓ 2 (E = V/d x $\frac{1}{2}$ for V) halves E ✓	2	
	(b)	(i)	$F = E Q = VQ / d$ ✓ $= V^2 r / kd$ ✓ $= 2000^2 \times 0.02 / (9.0 \times 10^9 \times 0.08) = 1.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (N)}$ ✓	3	apply uniform field equation combine with $V = k Q / r$ algebraic manipulation / numerical evaluation
		(ii)	1000 (J C ⁻¹) ✓	1	accept half the p.d. across the plates or complete calculation of $Fd / Q = 1000 \text{ (J C}^{-1}\text{)}$
	(c)		The ball can lose k.e. at each bounce to sound or heat and to the air by air resistive drag forces ✓ so ball accelerates until energy gained per passage = energy lost per passage (between the plates) ✓	2	alternative Allow credit for same charge transferred each time Thus experiences the same accelerating force
Total				11	

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
3	(a)*	<p>Level 3 (5–6 marks) All 3 features fully explained: sense and amplitude explained in terms of changes of <u>flux linking</u> coil. Explanations involve reference to Faraday's Law or $\varepsilon = (-) N \Delta\Phi / \Delta t$. Sense: increase in $N \Delta\Phi$ is + ve and decrease – ve. Amplitude: peak occurs when rate of change of flux linkage is greatest, may be mathematically expressed. Area: equated to total change of flux linkage with coil = $\sum \varepsilon \Delta t = (-) N \Delta\Phi$ or sum of strips and same flux links coil on way in as unlinks from coil on way out.</p> <p>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.</p> <p>Level 2 (3–4 marks) 2 or 3 features quite well explained: sense and amplitude explained in terms of changes of flux through coil. Explanation may involve reference to Faraday's Law or $\varepsilon = (-) N \Delta\Phi / \Delta t$. Area simply equated to change of flux and idea that increase = decrease in flux or both end points have zero flux through coil.</p> <p>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.</p>	6	<p>Indicative scientific points may include:</p> <p>Features of induced peaks to be explained</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sense of each peak opposite • Amplitude of 2nd peak larger because greater speed or greater $(-)N \Delta\Phi / \Delta t$ • area under peaks is equal because $\sum \varepsilon \Delta t = (-) N \Delta\Phi$ <p>Vocabulary guidelines</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level 3 in terms of changing <u>flux linkage</u> $N \Phi$ with coil • Level 2 in terms of changes of <u>flux</u> Φ through coil • Level 1 in terms of <u>field lines</u> B being cut by coil <p>Marking guidelines</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • accept arguments using mathematical symbolism

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	<p>Level 1 (1–2 marks) 1 or 2 features explained at a low level in terms of cutting lines of magnetic field e.g. cut in opposite direction, cut at a different rate, total field cut on way in equals field cut on way out. Some attempt at $\Delta B / \Delta t$.</p> <p>There is an attempt at a logical structure with a line of reasoning. The information is in the most part relevant.</p> <p>0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.</p>		
(b)	<p><u>Labelled sketch:</u> horizontal coil connected to data-logger or oscilloscope and vertical magnet ✓ OR plastic guide tube to keep long magnet vertical etc.</p> <p><u>identify</u> uncertainty in timing as control variable ✓</p> <p><u>method:</u></p> <p>increase sampling rate to reduce uncertainty in time or increase sensitivity in scale for p.d. or use automatic trigger on d-logger or identify using longer bar magnet increases transit time and thus reduces percentage uncertainty ✓</p>	3	<p>ignore clamps stands / unlabelled parts max 1 marks for diagram</p> <p>credit up to 2 sensible points - max 2 marks for method</p>

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
	(c)	<p>1 Peaks separate in time or a period of no emf between ✓ because only change in flux linking coil when magnetic poles enter or leave coil ✓</p> <p>OR Second peak much greater amplitude and shorter duration ✓ due to higher velocity (under acceleration of gravity) as pole leaves coil and flux linkage changes at much greater rate ✓</p> <p>2 Very small or zero induced emf ✓ because flux of magnet loops close to magnet and does not reach to link with the much larger diameter coil ✓</p>	4	
	(d)	<p>Total flux linking coil = area under graph $N \Phi = \sum \epsilon \Delta t$ or counting squares or area Δ ✓</p> <p>$(\Phi = A / N = \frac{1}{2} \times 0.08 \times 5.8 \times 10^{-3} / 1100)$ $= 0.21 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (Wb)} \checkmark$</p>	2	
Total			15	

Question			Answer	Marks	Guidance
4	(a)	(i)	Time constant is the time taken for the voltage to fall to 1/e of original value or $0.37 \times 6 \checkmark$ $= 2.2 \text{ V} \checkmark$ Shown on graph to be about 25 seconds \checkmark	3	ORA accept answers using initial tangent at $t = 0$ accept answers in range 24.5 to 25.5 s
		(ii)	$R = \tau / C \checkmark$ $R = 25 / 4700 \times 10^{-6} = 53(2)0 \Omega \checkmark$ Then $I = V/R = 6 / 5320 \checkmark$ $= 1.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ A} \checkmark$	4	Credit correct answers based on own value of time constant from part (a) OR $I = \Delta Q / \Delta t = C\Delta V / \Delta t$ with $\Delta V = 0.50 \text{ V}$ gives 1.2 mA for full marks
		(iii)*	Level 3 (5–6 marks) Performs both calculations correctly and appreciates the strengths and limitations of both. Principally: for Method 1 relies on $Q \propto V$ which is valid; for Method 2 the y-axis needs to be re-interpreted and the area being measured (integration by counting squares) gives an approximate estimated answer. There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Performs both calculations successfully but method may not be completely clear or does not compare them sufficiently well. There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.	6	expected comparison of two methods <ul style="list-style-type: none"> method 1 does not depend on the time variation of $V(t)$, only on the accuracy of start and end p.d.s. and the R and C values. It is a calculation based on theory. method 2 depends on counting squares and fractions of squares under the exponential decay curve which is an approximation to the area under the graph leading to an estimate of the charge flow. points worthy of credit : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> method 1 calculation: $\Delta Q = C\Delta V$ with $\Delta V = (6 - 1.8) \text{ V}$ gives 19.7 mC method 2 estimation: re-scaling of y-axis to represent current area under $I(t)$ represents $\Delta Q = I \Delta t = V\Delta t/R$

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	<p>Level 1 (1–2 marks) Attempts one or both calculations but does not successfully compare the two methods.</p> <p>There is an attempt at a logical structure with a line of reasoning. The information is in the most part relevant.</p> <p>0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> each 1 cm square $1 \times 10/5000 = 2 \text{ mC}$ between 0 and 30 s there are about 10 squares so total charge flow = $10 \times 2.0 \times 10^{-3} = 20 \text{ mC}$ accept answers in range 18 to 22 mC
(b)	$V_t = V_0 \exp(-t/\tau)$ ✓ in which $V_0 = 6 \text{ V}$, $V_t = 1 \text{ V}$ ✓ so $t = 25 \times \ln 6 = 45 \text{ s}$ ✓ (ecf on RC value) 45 < 50 so YES it does meet requirement ✓	4	accept alternative method: after another τ p.d. will drop to $2.2/e$ = 0.81 V so YES
(c)	charge & discharge graphs match time constant except for factor 1000 on time scale OR charge $V = 6(1 - e^{-t/R_1 C})$ t in s & discharge $V = 6 e^{-t/R_2 C}$ t in ms ✓ so $R_2 C = 1000 R_1 C$ ✓ $R_1 = 5320 / 1000 = 5.3 \text{ } (\Omega)$ ✓	3	accept other correct methods e.g. both graphs take same time value to reach $\frac{1}{2} V$ max except for time scale in s and ms OR full calculation of time constant during charging Accept $5000/1000 = 5.0 \text{ } (\Omega)$
	Total	20	

Summary of updates

Date	Version	Change
January 2019	2.0	Minor accessibility changes to the paper: i) Additional answer lines linked to Level of Response questions ii) One addition to the rubric clarifying the general rule that working should be shown for any calculation questions
October 2020	2.1	Updated copyright acknowledgements.