## 4767 Statistics 2

1	(i)			
			G1 For values of <i>a</i> G1 for values of <i>t</i> G1 for axes	[3]
	(ii)	<i>a</i> is independent, $t$ is dependent since the values of <i>a</i> are not subject to random variation, but are determined by the runways which the pilot chooses, whereas the values of $t$ are subject to random variation.	B1 E1dep E1dep	[3]
	(iii)	$\bar{a} = 900,  \bar{t} = 855.2$ $b = \frac{S_{at}}{S_{aa}} = \frac{6037800 - 5987 \times 6300 / 7}{8190000 - 6300^2 / 7} = \frac{649500}{2520000} = 0.258$ OR $b = \frac{6037800 / 7 - 855.29 \times 900}{8190000 / 7 - 900^2} = \frac{92785}{360000} = 0.258$ hence least squares regression line is: $t - \bar{t} = b(a - \bar{a})$ $\Rightarrow t - 855.29 = 0.258 (a - 900)$ $\Rightarrow t = 0.258a + 623$	<ul> <li>B1 for <i>ā</i> and <i>t</i> used (SOI)</li> <li>M1 for attempt at gradient (<i>b</i>)</li> <li>A1 for 0.258 cao</li> <li>M1 for equation of line</li> <li>A1 FT for complete equation</li> </ul>	[5]
	(iv)	<ul> <li>(A) For a = 800, predicted take-off distance = 0.258×800 + 623 = 829</li> <li>(B) For a = 2500, predicted take-off distance = 0.258×2500 + 623 = 1268</li> </ul>	M1 for at least one prediction attempted A1 for both answers (FT their equation if <i>b</i> >0)	
		Valid relevant comments relating to the predictions such as: First prediction is interpolation so should be reasonable Second prediction is extrapolation and may not be reliable	E1 (first comment) E1 (second comment)	[4]
	(v)	$a = 1200 \Rightarrow$ predicted $t = 0.258 \times 1200 + 623 = 933$ Residual = $923 - 933 = -10$ The residual is negative because the observed value is less than the predicted value.	M1 for prediction M1 for subtraction A1 FT E1 <b>Total</b>	[4] [19]

Mark Scheme

2	(i)	P(1 of 10 is faulty) = $\begin{pmatrix} 10\\1 \end{pmatrix}$ × 0.02 <sup>1</sup> × 0.98 <sup>9</sup> = 0.1667	M1 for coefficient M1 for probabilities A1	[3]
	(ii)	<i>n</i> is large and <i>p</i> is small	B1, B1 Allow appropriate numerical ranges	[2]
	(iii)	$\lambda = 150 \times 0.02 = 3$ (A) $P(X = 0) = \tilde{e}^{-3} \frac{3^{0}}{0!} = 0.0498 (3 \text{ s.f.})$ or from tables $= 0.0498$ (B) Expected number $= 3$ Using tables: $P(X > 3) = 1 - P(X \le 3)$ $= 1 - 0.6472 = 0.3528$	<ul> <li>B1 for mean (soi)</li> <li>M1 for calculation or use of tables</li> <li>A1</li> <li>B1 expected no = 3 (soi)</li> <li>M1 A1</li> </ul>	[3]
	(iv)	(A) Binomial(2000,0.02) (B) Use Normal approx with $\mu = np = 2000 \times 0.02 = 40$ $\sigma^2 = npq = 2000 \times 0.02 \times 0.98 = 39.2$ $P(X \le 50) = P\left(Z \le \frac{50.5 - 40}{\sqrt{39.2}}\right)$ $= P(Z \le 1.677) = \Phi(1.677) = 0.9532$ NB Poisson approximation also acceptable for full marks	<ul> <li>B1 for binomial</li> <li>B1 for parameters</li> <li>B1</li> <li>B1</li> <li>B1 for continuity corr.</li> <li>M1 for probability using correct tail</li> <li>A1 CAO</li> </ul>	[2]
		<b>NB</b> Poisson approximation also acceptable for full marks	Total	[18]

3	(i)	(A) $P(X < 50)$		
		$= P\left(Z < \frac{50 - 45.3}{11.5}\right)$ = P(Z < 0.4087) = $\Phi(0.4087)$ = 0.6585 (B) P(45.3 < X < 50)	M1 for standardising M1 for correct structure of probability calc' A1 CAO inc use of diff tables NB When a candidate's answers suggest that (s)he appears to have neglected to use the difference column of the Normal distribution tables penalise the first occurrence only	[3]
		= 0.6585 - 0.5	M1	[2]
		=0.1585	A1	[4]
	( <b>ii</b> )	From tables $\Phi^{-1}(0.9) = 1.282$ k - 45.3	B1 for 1.282 seen	
		$\frac{k - 45.3}{11.5} = 1.282$	M1 for equation in k	
		$k = 45.3 + 1.282 \times 11.5 = 60.0$	A1 CAO	[3]
	(iii)	P(score = 111) =P(110.5 < Y < 111.5) = P\left(\frac{110.5 - 100}{15} < Z < \frac{111.5 - 100}{15}\right)	<ul><li>B1 for both continuity corrections</li><li>M1 for standardising</li></ul>	
		= P(0.7 < Z < 0.7667) = $\Phi(0.7667) - \Phi(0.7)$	M1 for correct structure of probability calc'	
		$= 0.7784 - 0.7580 \\= 0.0204$	A1 CAO	[4]
	(iv)	From tables, $\Phi^{-1}(0.3) = -0.5244, \Phi^{-1}(0.8) = 0.8416$ $22 = \mu + 0.8416 \sigma$ $15 = \mu - 0.5244 \sigma$ $7 = 1.3660 \sigma$ $\sigma = 5.124, \mu = 17.69$	B1 for 0.5244 or 0.8416 seen M1 for at least one equation in z, $\mu \& \sigma$ A1 for both correct M1 for attempt to solve two appropriate equations A1 CAO for both	[5]
			TOTAL	[17]

## Mark Scheme

	service used. H <sub>1</sub> : some association between size of business and recycling service used.	B1 for both	[1]
( <b>ii</b> )	Expected frequency = $78/285 \times 180 = 49.2632$ Contribution = $(52 - 49.2632)^2 / 49.2632$ = 0.1520	M1 A1 M1 for valid attempt at (O-E) <sup>2</sup> /E A1 <i>NB Answer given</i> Allow 0.152	[4]
(iii)	Test statistic $X^2 = 0.6041$ Refer to $\mathcal{X}_2^2$ Critical value at 5% level = 5.991 Result is not significant There is no evidence to suggest any association between size of business and recycling service used. NB if H <sub>0</sub> H <sub>1</sub> reversed, or 'correlation' mentioned in part (i), do not award B1in part (i) or E1 in part (iii).	B1 B1 for 2 deg of f(seen) B1 CAO for cv B1 for not significant E1	[5]
(iv)	H <sub>0</sub> : $\mu = 32.8$ ; H <sub>1</sub> : $\mu < 32.8$ Where $\mu$ denotes the population mean weight of rubbish in the bins. Test statistic $= \frac{30.9 - 32.8}{3.4/\sqrt{50}} = -\frac{1.9}{0.4808} = -3.951$ 5% level 1 tailed critical value of $z = -1.645$ -3.951 < -1.645 so significant. There is sufficient evidence to reject H <sub>0</sub> There is evidence to suggest that the weight of rubbish in dustbins has been reduced.	<ul> <li>B1 for use of 32.8</li> <li>B1 for both correct</li> <li>B1 for definition of μ</li> <li>M1 must include √50</li> <li>A1</li> <li>B1 for ±1.645</li> <li>M1 for sensible comparison leading to a conclusion</li> <li>A1 for conclusion in words in context</li> <li>TOTAL</li> </ul>	[8]